

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/543,125	HANNIGAN, BRETT T.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel A. Hess.

(2) William Conwell.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 April 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

3-7, 10, 13-21, 23, 33 and 34

Claims discussed:

3-7, 10, 13-21, 23, 33 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Kurowski (US 6,553,127), Katoh et al. (US 5,206,491)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 4/13/06

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Following a pre-appeal conference, the Examiner indicated that some of the claims that had previously been indicated as rejected could be allowable if restated in Independent form. Other claims would remain rejected. The Applicant agreed to make certain changes, and cancel claims that would remain rejected.